Before the appearance of therapeutic chemotherapy and radiotherapy, malignant tumors of the extremities were usually treated with radical amputation. The majority of bone sarcomas occur about the hip and knee joints; therefore, patients were frequently treated with hip disarticulation or above-knee amputation.[1–3]

However, the survival rate after amputation was consistently poor, especially for the pediatric population. In Price et al.[4] study in 1975, the five-year disease-free survival rate after early amputation was 12%. Similarly, Campanacci and Cervellati5 reported an overall ten-year survival rate of 5% after radical amputation in patients with osteosarcoma with no survival of children under fifteen years. After the development of chemotherapy, the five-year survival rates promptly improved to 50%-65%.[6–9]

This improved capability to control the disease process allowed the orthopedic oncologists to attempt limb salvage, instead of amputation, as a part of multimodal therapy for malignant tumors of limbs, especially in pediatric patients with no difference in patient survival following limb-salvage surgery as compared with amputation for the majority of bone sarcomas.[10–12] Limb salvage surgery is now recognized as the mainstay treatment for most bone sarcomas about the hip and knee joints with improved functional and psychological outcomes.[13–15]

However, the surgical management of primary bone tumors in skeletally immature patients carries many chal-

**Abstract**

Pediatric limb salvage necessitates collaboration of multi-disciplinary team approach; members are orthopedic oncologist, pediatric oncologist, radiologist, pathologist, physiotherapist, and psychotherapist. Limb-salvage choices fall into two principal categories, biological reconstruction as well as endoprosthetic arthroplasty, each of which has functional and technical concerns that are fundamental to determine the optimal management option. Limb-salvage surgery in pediatric has unique challenges, with precise planning to assure the maintenance of limb-length equality with durable construction for long-term function into adulthood. Limb salvage can achieve satisfactory oncological and functional results and quality of life as compared with amputation. Improvements in biological reconstructive methods, as well as endoprosthetic design, allow effective limb-salvage choices after oncological resections in pediatrics that are ideally adjusted to the patient’s unique functional needs.
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Challenges and limb salvage has been less enthusiastically affirmed for pediatrics as compared with adults.\textsuperscript{[16–18]} This discrepancy is because children can more easily adapt to amputation than adults with a reliable outcome after amputation compared to limb-salvage that has a high probability of complications which often require further surgical interventions.\textsuperscript{[16,17]} Moreover, surgical reconstructions in pediatrics are challenging with the fact that a physis is usually sacrificed resulting in a clinically significant leg-length discrepancy.\textsuperscript{[18–20]} These factors are critical in choosing the appropriate surgical procedure. Consequently, expandable prostheses have been developed as a solution to limb length inequality after sacrificing the physis with acceptable results.\textsuperscript{[21–24]}

Osteosarcoma is the most common primary bone sarcoma and it preferentially affects children in the second decade of life, with the majority of cases affecting the distal part of the femur and proximal part of the tibia.\textsuperscript{[25,26]} Ewing sarcoma also affects adolescents and represents approximately 10 to 15\% of malignant bone tumors.\textsuperscript{[27,28]}

The purpose of this review article is to highlight the multidisciplinary team approach for limb salvage for malignant bone tumors of the limb in the pediatric patients, and review the current options for limb-salvage, with key elements of the decision-making process.

**Clinical Scenario**

A 16-year-old previously healthy male who presented to our orthopedic outpatient clinic, accompanied by his father, with right arm pain. He reported that pain started 6 weeks prior to presentation and it was initially noted on activity, with occasional night pain which was somewhat relieved by rest and analgesics.

Clinical examination revealed mild swelling and tenderness over the right arm with slight limitation of the right shoulder as compared to the left shoulder. Vital signs were within the normal ranges.

Plain radiographs demonstrated a well-defined periosteal reaction and sclerotic lesion over the mid-shaft of the right humerus, Figure 1.

Axial and sagittal computed tomographic (CT) images of the humerus better depicted the aggressive periosteal reaction and the bone marrow involvement.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) demonstrated the diaphyseal lesion centered in the marrow canal of the humerus with circumferential soft-tissue extension. The lesion was heterogeneously intermediate in signal intensity on both the T1-weighted and T2-weighted images, Figure 2.

Metastatic tumor workup was done and the whole-body bone scintigraphy showed markedly increased uptake of radionuclide in the humeral lesion with no abnormalities in other parts of the body. Chest CT scan and laboratory investigations showed no abnormalities.

Open biopsy was performed and it demonstrated features of Ewing's sarcoma. The patient was then sent to the pediatric oncologist and he received 3 cycles of chemotherapy preoperatively.

Surgical resection of the 14 cm of the humerus was done with intercalary fibular autograft of 18 cm. Fixation was achieved using proximal humeral locked plate and screws, Figures 3, 4. Specimen was sent for histopathological examination and the report confirmed the diagnosis of Ewing's sarcoma. The patient was then sent for neoadjuvant chemotherapy and radiotherapy.
Multidisciplinary Approach

A multidisciplinary approach is required for limb salvage surgery in pediatrics with malignant bone tumors such as osteosarcoma and Ewing's sarcoma.[29] The surgery should be done in highly specialized centers which are able to provide a full spectrum of care and where the multidisciplinary team of orthopedic surgeon, oncologist, histopathologist, radiologist, and radiotherapist can interact and cooperate.[29,30]

1) Orthopedic Oncologist: Initial Evaluation

The initial visit with the orthopedic oncologist sets the plan for evaluation and treatment which the patient will undergo in the following weeks, so it is crucial to develop a trustful relationship with the child and the family.[19,31] In bone tumors, initial evaluation requires a full understanding of the clinical presentation, symptom duration, and severity.[18] A thorough history and physical examination with characteristic findings on standard radiographs often enable the orthopedic oncologist to make a potential diagnosis.[18,29]

2) Radiologist: Diagnostic Imaging

The radiologist has a crucial role in assessing the character and extent of local tumor and the presence of regional or distant metastases.[32,33] Radiographically, the appearance of malignant bone tumors may be osteolytic, osteoblastic,

Figure 2. MRI sagittal image showing the tumor and its extension.

Figure 3. Intra-operative photo showing resection of the humerus and the intercalary fibular autograft.

Figure 4. Postoperative plain X-ray AP and lateral view.
or mixed. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is considered the best radiological modality to evaluate the intramedullary extension and the association with the nearby muscles, vessels, nerves, and soft tissues.

3) Orthopedic Oncologist: Obtaining a Biopsy

The final and crucial step toward definitive diagnosis is obtaining a biopsy which may be an open or a large core tissue biopsy. Fine-needle aspiration biopsy should be avoided as it often results in under- or incorrect diagnosis. The biopsy tract should ideally be set in an area that can be totally excised in the definitive surgery.

4) Pathologist: Tissue Diagnosis

The pathologist is involved in the diagnosis of tumors at the point of biopsy to confirm the diagnosis assumed on clinical and radiological evaluation, and also at the time of the resection to evaluate the status of the surgical margins and estimate the response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy. The pathologist's evaluation helps establish a prognosis and guides in the consequent clinical care.

5) Pediatric Oncologist: Neoadjuvant Therapy

Both osteosarcoma and Ewing sarcoma needs the combination of adequate systemic therapy in addition to the local control of all macroscopic tumors. Rosen et al. was the first to introduce the use of neoadjuvant chemotherapy before the definitive management and proposed that this concept would have a potential role in facilitating limb-salvage procedures.

Neoadjuvant and adjuvant chemotherapy have a significant role in patients with malignant bone tumors, even in those with localized disease not visible even with modern techniques. Osteosarcomas are relatively radioresistant, therefore radiotherapy is not effective. Currently, the most used chemotherapy agents include cisplatin, doxorubicin, ifosfamide and methotrexate.

6) Orthopedic Oncologist: Preoperative Planning and Definitive Surgical Management

Following neoadjuvant chemotherapy and repeated imaging, the orthopedic oncologist should meet with the patient and the family for pre-operative evaluation and discussion of the possible surgical management and limb reconstruction options and the expected postoperative management.

Besides the social concerns, preoperative planning include also technical considerations of surgery.

Limb-Salvage Surgical Options

The surgical management of limb sarcomas is performed in two steps; tumor excision and limb reconstruction. Complete tumor resection must be performed and reconstructive concerns should not interfere with obtaining an adequate margin of resection. However, some sites, such as the proximal part of the fibula, may not need reconstruction of any structures except the lateral collateral ligament. Reconstrucive techniques include biological, endoprosthetic reconstructions, and rotationplasty. Biological reconstruction options involve the use of autografts such as vascularized or nonvascularized fibula, allograft, combined autograft-allograft, and bone transport. The main benefit of biological reconstructions is the probability of a satisfactory resolution. For malignant neoplasms sparing the joint, intercalary biological reconstructions can almost always be done. The main drawback is that the constructs frequently demand prolonged duration of immobilization and limited weight-bearing.

For endoprosthetic reconstructions, various metallic endoprosthetic choices and customization that permits several adjustments, including the extension capacity, are available. The main benefits of megaprostheses are their strength and durability, allowing immediate postoperative weight-bearing. The main drawback is the inevitable implant failure, such as breakage, wear bearings and fixation problems, that happens over time in patients who have been cured of disease.

The surrounding soft tissues available for coverage may have a fundamental impact on the treatment choices. Local rotation flaps may be sufficient, and free tissue transfers may be required in some cases. Free flaps may be soft-tissue grafts only or osteo-fasciocutaneous grafts to cover soft-tissue and skin defects.

Biological Reconstruction Options

1) Osteoarticular Allograft

For tumors that cross the physis, biological reconstructions may be achieved by osteoarticular allografts. Despite their fixed length, osteoarticular grafts have inherent advantages, including the preservation of the ipsilateral physis on the other side of the joint.

An osteoarticular allograft is selected based on articular congruity, matching the size of the graft to that of the remaining tibia or femur. Precise matching of the size and shape of the graft than is preferred to be determined by
three-dimensional computer modeling more than the traditional measurements alone.\textsuperscript{46,52,61}

2) Transepiphyseal Resection and Reconstruction

Transepiphyseal tumor resection and reconstruction may be done for tumors that do not cross the physis. This approach aims to preserve the viable femoral or tibial articular surface and the ligamentous structures around the knee.\textsuperscript{62} This approach may require sacrificing the physis to obtain adequate resection margins, so additional procedures for addressing limb-length inequality may be necessary.

Ideally, at least 1 cm of bone should be preserved after resection.\textsuperscript{63} Contoured locking plates allow for large locking screws to be used to fix into the remaining epiphysis.\textsuperscript{64,65}

Canadell et al.\textsuperscript{66} reported a transphyseal approach for the management of metaphyseal malignant tumors that would require resection of the joint surface. An external fixator was applied with two pins in the epiphysis and another two pins in the diaphysis, around 10 cm away from the tumor.\textsuperscript{66} Physeal distraction was begun during neoadjuvant chemotherapy until 2 cm lengthening of the physis. Tranphyseal resection of the tumor was then performed, and the bone defect was reconstructed with autograft or allograft.\textsuperscript{66}

3) Intercalary Resection and Capanna Technique:

Ideally, adequate tumor resection margins could be achieved while preserving the physeal plate, and intercalary resection and reconstruction can be attempted.\textsuperscript{87} Significant intercalary bone defects after tumor resections have historically been reconstructed with massive cadaveric allograft, which is associated with multiple complications, including infection, fracture, and nonunion.\textsuperscript{68,69}

The Capanna technique for limb reconstruction combines the use of the cadaveric allograft with an intramedullary vascularized fibular graft to allow for immediate rigid fixation of the allograft, and also the fibular graft can hypertrophy with viable bone over time, promoting union rates and reducing late-fracture rates.\textsuperscript{70,71}

4) Distraction Osteogenesis and Bone Transport

Bone transport is a procedure that is often used for reconstruction of the bone defects resulting from trauma or infection. At some institutions, this technique is used for reconstruction after tumor resection.\textsuperscript{72,73} This technique is less risky and is associated with fewer postoperative risks and more favorable long-term outcomes.\textsuperscript{74}

Xu et al.\textsuperscript{75} reported full bone healing without any complications or limb discrepancy following treating a 11-year-old male with proximal tibial osteosarcoma with marginal excision with preservation of the proximal epiphysial and metaphyseal reconstruction using distraction osteogenesis.

Similarly, He et al.\textsuperscript{76} reported satisfactory results with no local recurrence or metastasis after treating 7-year-old male with distal tibial osteosarcoma with physeal distraction, en bloc resection, and distraction osteogenesis.

Endoprosthetic Reconstruction

There are numerous endoprosthetic options for limb-salvage surgery in pediatrics. Modular prostheses allow for most reconstructions for the proximal or distal parts of the femur or even the whole femur.\textsuperscript{47} Proximal tibial reconstructions support insertion sites for the patellar tendon and hamstring muscles.\textsuperscript{77} For pediatrics, the two major concerns are a stem-fixation option and the ability of longitudinal extension.\textsuperscript{78}

There are variable stem-fixation options including cemented, press-fit, and compressive osseointegration implants, which are surgeon preference. Cemented and press-fit stems have varying lengths and diameters.\textsuperscript{79} Custom stems may be needed in young pediatrics with small medullary canal. The advantage of cemented stems is that they provide immediate stability and weight-bearing capability, unlike press-fit stems which may need delayed weight bearing.\textsuperscript{79-81}

Highly polished stems can be used on the other side of the joint that is being resurfaced to accommodate the megaprosthes.\textsuperscript{82} Only the articular surface should be cut with preservation of the physis to allow growth around the stem. Even with careful attention to technique and implant choice, these reconstructions present a substantial risk for proximal tibial growth disturbance.\textsuperscript{55,82} Trialing of the desired reconstruction combination is crucial to guarantee ideal limb length, alignment, rotation, and patellar tracking.\textsuperscript{83,84}

Extendable Megaprostheses

Extendable or expandable megaprostheses have gained enormous popularity as they have the ability of longitudinal extension with a tube within a tube design which lengthens like a telescope offering a great alternative to amputation in growing pediatrics. However, early failure and high revision rates are the primary concerns.\textsuperscript{21,48,85,86}

The extension mechanisms include invasive and noninvasive methods. The invasive mechanisms, which require open surgical procedure, include worm-gear and segmental block-expansion mechanisms.\textsuperscript{87} The worm-gear mechanism uses a screwdriver equivalent to rotate the gear and lengthen the implant. The segmental block-expansion de-
vices involve a metallic segment to be inserted along the diaphysis of the component after distraction.\textsuperscript{[55,82,87]}

Noninvasive designs avoid surgical incisions and use an external electric coil to produce a rotating magnetic field through a magnet placed inside the implant to drive the gear device, with a lengthening rate of 1 mm per 4 minutes.\textsuperscript{[88,89]}

Reported complications following reconstruction by extendable prostheses included infection, aseptic loosening, failure of the extension apparatus, and fracture around the implants.\textsuperscript{[85,89-92]}

**Rotationplasty**

One of the options for limb salvage in immature patients with malignant tumors around the knee is rotationplasty, which was developed as an alternative to above-knee amputation. Rotationplasty converts the ankle to work as a knee joint with foot preservation so that patients do not feel that they are amputees. This new knee has active flexion of nearly 90° and has a short rehabilitation period with a prosthesis with more dynamic and efficient gait.\textsuperscript{[93]} Rotationplasty has reported satisfactory outcomes and acceptable cosmetic appearance.\textsuperscript{[93,94]}

**Conclusion**

Limb salvage of a malignant lesion during childhood is a multidisciplinary team approach which necessitates collaboration of many specialties (orthopedic oncologist, pediatric oncologist, radiologist, pathologist, radiotherapist, physiotherapist, and psychotherapist) and it carries a major challenge. The decision to proceed with limb salvage is often more difficult than many patients and families. Initially, realize. For the growing child, several options exist for limb salvage after resection of extremity bone sarcoma, including biological and endoprosthetic reconstructions, although current data do not support one clear choice. Careful planning and thoughtful guidance are needed for such patients because the many patient and tumor-specific factors often dictate the long-term oncological and functional success of the procedure.
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